



#15/a
py
11/25/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application

Serial No. 09/747,648

Group Art Unit 3634

Terry W. Cogar and Brooke Schumm III

Examiner Hugh B. Thompson

Filed: December 26, 2000

For: A MOBILE OUTRIGGER SCAFFOLDING SYSTEM

To: The Honorable Commissioner of Patents

RECEIVED

OCT 27 2003

GROUP 3600

**SUPPLEMENT AMENDMENT of October 23, 2003
TO Non- Conforming September 24, 2003
RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF APRIL 24, 2003
AND PETITION FOR SSP EXTENSION OF Two MONTHS**

Applicants Terry W. Cogar and Brooke Schumm III, by their patent attorney, Brooke Schumm III, respectfully supplement their response to Office Action of April 24, 2003. On or about February 6, 2003, the Applicants elected Invention I which the examiner had set out as claims 1-12, 22 and 23. Drawings were submitted on June 30 and are being amended herewith.

First, drawings with corrections pursuant to the examiner's suggestions are enclosed. Bristol boards corresponding to the enclosed drawings are ready and can be submitted upon the examiner's approval. The only changes are to show the right figure of old Figure 1 as Figure 1B and the left figure of old Figure 1 as Figure 1A with an inset indicated for 1B. Element 25 was labeled on Figure 1A. Element 2 was labeled on 1B. All writing was deleted and numbers substituted for the writing. Element 28 was indicated. The specification has been amended to describe the numbers in the figures. These drawings supersede the drawings submitted on or about June 30, 2003. They are attached behind

Appendix I.

The claims 1-12 and 22-23 have been amended to conform to the Examiner's requirements. They are printed behind Appendix II in redline form.

Other than claims 1-12 and 22-23, all remaining claims, that is 13-21, and 24-35 are cancelled.

Summarizing the non-claim changes to the specification which appear in redline form behind Appendix III:

1. The section entitled Description of Figures on the first three pages following is substituted in its entirety for the old section entitled "Description of Figures on pages 2-3 of the original application prior to the "Description of Invention."
2. The word "--support--" should be inserted after the word cylindrical in the second sentence of the paragraph on page 6 which paragraph begins with the words "In the second mode".
3. On page 9, the paragraph on the following page should be inserted in lieu of the paragraph beginning "A further modification of this mode..."
4. On page 10 of the original application, in the paragraph beginning "In this latter fourth mode,...", in the fourth sentence, in the middle of the parenthetical expression, the word "--support--" should be inserted after the word "bracket" so the parenthetical now reads "(also called a bracket support plate)." Later in the same sentence of the same paragraph, a typographical error appears: a space needs to be inserted prior to "C" and a space deleted from after the "C." That part of the sentence then reads "to prevent the C-folds from..."

A clean copy of the non-claim specification amendments is behind Appendix V.

The clean copy of the shortened abstract is behind Appendix VI..

The application should now be in allowable form.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 10/22/2003

Brooke Schumm III, Applicant and Agent

Atty # 34,926

Daneker, McIntire, Schumm, Prince,

Goldstein, Manning & Widmann, P.C.

One N. Charles St., Suite 2450

Baltimore, MD 21201

(410) 649-4761

I certify that I caused the above Supplemental Response to be sent the 22nd day of October 2003 ~~to the official fax phone number of the Group Art Unit 3634 (703) 305-3597~~ BJE addressed to the Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Mail Stop Group Art Unit 3634, Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Brooke Schumm III

Atty # 34,926